



NSSE 2020

Engagement Indicators

University of New Hampshire at Durham

About Your Engagement Indicators Report

Engagement Indicators (EIs) provide a useful summary of the detailed information contained in your students' NSSE responses. By combining responses to related NSSE questions, each EI offers valuable information about a distinct aspect of student engagement. Ten indicators, based on three to eight survey questions each (a total of 47 survey questions), are organized into four broad themes as shown at right.

Theme	Engagement Indicator
Academic Challenge	Higher-Order Learning
	Reflective & Integrative Learning
	Learning Strategies
	Quantitative Reasoning
Learning with Peers	Collaborative Learning
	Discussions with Diverse Others
Experiences with Faculty	Student-Faculty Interaction
	Effective Teaching Practices
Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions
	Supportive Environment

Report Sections

Overview (p. 3)

Displays how average EI scores for your students compare with those of students at your comparison group institutions.

Theme Reports (pp. 4-13)

Detailed views of EI scores within the four themes for your students and those at comparison group institutions. Three views offer varied insights into your EI scores:

Mean Comparisons

Straightforward comparisons of average scores between your students and those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes (see below).

Score Distributions

Box-and-whisker charts show the variation in scores *within* your institution and comparison groups.

Performance on Indicator Items

Responses to each item in a given EI are summarized for your institution and comparison groups.

Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions (p. 15)

Comparisons of your students' average scores on each EI with those of students at institutions whose average scores were in the top 50% and top 10% of 2019 and 2020 participating institutions.

Detailed Statistics (pp. 16-19)

Detailed information about EI score means, distributions, and tests of statistical significance.

Interpreting Comparisons

Mean comparisons report both statistical significance and effect size. Effect size indicates the practical importance of an observed difference. For EI comparisons, NSSE research has concluded that an effect size of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2018). Comparisons with an effect size of at least .3 in magnitude (before rounding) are highlighted in the Overview (p. 3).

EIs vary more among students within an institution than between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on average scores alone amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement varies within your institution. Score distributions indicate how EI scores vary among your students and those in your comparison groups. The Report Builder and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer valuable perspectives on internal variation and help you investigate your students' engagement in depth.

How Engagement Indicators are Computed

Each EI is scored on a 60-point scale. To produce an indicator score, the response set for each item is converted to a 60-point scale (e.g., Never = 0; Sometimes = 20; Often = 40; Very often = 60), and the rescaled items are averaged. Thus a score of zero means a student responded at the bottom of the scale for every item in the EI, while a score of 60 indicates responses at the top of the scale on every item.

For more information on EIs and their psychometric properties, refer to the NSSE website: nsse.indiana.edu

Rocconi, L.M., & Gonyea, R.M. (2018). Contextualizing effect sizes in the National Survey of Student Engagement: An empirical analysis. *Research & Practice in Assessment*, 13 (Summer/Fall), pp. 22-38.

Engagement Indicators: Overview

Engagement Indicators are summary measures based on sets of NSSE questions examining key dimensions of student engagement. The ten indicators are organized within four broad themes: Academic Challenge, Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment. The tables below compare average scores for your students with those in your comparison groups.

Use the following key:

- ▲ Your students' **average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- △ Your students' **average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- No significant difference.
- ▼ Your students' **average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- ▼ Your students' **average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

First-Year Students

Theme	Engagement Indicator	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
<i>Academic Challenge</i>	Higher-Order Learning	--	--	--
	Reflective & Integrative Learning	--	--	--
	Learning Strategies	--	--	--
	Quantitative Reasoning	--	▲	--
<i>Learning with Peers</i>	Collaborative Learning	△	△	△
	Discussions with Diverse Others	▼	--	▼
<i>Experiences with Faculty</i>	Student-Faculty Interaction	△	--	--
	Effective Teaching Practices	--	--	--
<i>Campus Environment</i>	Quality of Interactions	△	△	--
	Supportive Environment	△	△	--

Seniors

Theme	Engagement Indicator	Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
<i>Academic Challenge</i>	Higher-Order Learning	--	--	--
	Reflective & Integrative Learning	--	--	--
	Learning Strategies	▼	▼	▼
	Quantitative Reasoning	--	△	--
<i>Learning with Peers</i>	Collaborative Learning	△	△	△
	Discussions with Diverse Others	▼	▼	▼
<i>Experiences with Faculty</i>	Student-Faculty Interaction	△	△	△
	Effective Teaching Practices	△	△	△
<i>Campus Environment</i>	Quality of Interactions	△	△	△
	Supportive Environment	△	△	--

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Academic Challenge University of New Hampshire at Durham

Academic Challenge: First-year students


Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning*, *Reflective & Integrative Learning*, *Learning Strategies*, and *Quantitative Reasoning*. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your first-year students compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Higher-Order Learning	37.7	38.2	-.04	37.0	.06	38.2	-.04
Reflective & Integrative Learning	35.3	34.9	.04	34.5	.07	34.9	.03
Learning Strategies	37.7	37.4	.02	37.1	.04	37.7	.00
Quantitative Reasoning	30.4	29.9	.04	28.7 **	.12	29.3	.08

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and *p* before rounding; **p* < .05, ***p* < .01, ****p* < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Academic Challenge: First-year students (continued)

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your FY students and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Higher-Order Learning				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized...	%			
4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations	75	+0	+5	+1
4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts	69	-3	+0	-2
4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source	66	-1	-1	-1
4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information	68	-1	+1	-1
Reflective & Integrative Learning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments	57	+5	+5	+6
2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues	54	+1	+2	+4
2c. Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments	47	-4	-2	-2
2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue	61	+0	+0	-1
2e. Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	71	+2	+2	+2
2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	69	+2	+3	+3
2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge	81	+3	+5	+3
Learning Strategies				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
9a. Identified key information from reading assignments	77	+3	+3	+4
9b. Reviewed your notes after class	66	+3	+3	+2
9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials	61	-1	-1	-1
Quantitative Reasoning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
6a. Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	58	+2	+5	+2
6b. Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	46	+1	+3	+4
6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information	47	+2	+6	+4

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

Academic Challenge: Seniors


Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning*, *Reflective & Integrative Learning*, *Learning Strategies*, and *Quantitative Reasoning*. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your seniors compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Higher-Order Learning	39.8	39.1	.05	39.3	.04	39.2	.04
Reflective & Integrative Learning	37.4	37.4	-.01	37.8	-.03	37.3	.01
Learning Strategies	35.3	36.9 **	-.11	38.0 ***	-.18	37.5 ***	-.15
Quantitative Reasoning	32.1	32.4	-.02	30.4 **	.11	31.1	.07

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$ (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Academic Challenge: Seniors (continued)

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your seniors and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Higher-Order Learning				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized...	%			
4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations	82	+6 	+7 	+5 
4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts	78	+6 	+5 	+4 
4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source	69	+2 	-1 	+2 
4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information	71	+0 	-1 	+1 
Reflective & Integrative Learning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments	74	+4 	+5 	+6 
2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues	62	+2 	-1 	+4 
2c. Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments	46	-4 	-6 	-3 
2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue	62	-3 	-3 	-3 
2e. Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	71	-1 	-2 	-1 
2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	71	+0 	-1 	+0 
2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge	82	+1 	-1 	+0 
Learning Strategies				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
9a. Identified key information from reading assignments	76	+1 	-2 	+1 
9b. Reviewed your notes after class	51	-6 	-10 	-10 
9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials	54	-7 	-9 	-7 
Quantitative Reasoning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...				
6a. Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	60	+0 	+5 	+1 
6b. Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	49	-1 	+3 	+3 
6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information	50	-2 	+3 	+2 

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Learning with Peers

University of New Hampshire at Durham

Learning with Peers: First-year students



Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your first-year students compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Collaborative Learning	35.8	33.9 **	.14	32.4 ***	.25	34.3 **	.11
Discussions with Diverse Others	37.0	39.1 **	-.14	38.3	-.09	41.4 ***	-.29

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and *p* before rounding; **p* < .05, ***p* < .01, ****p* < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your FY students and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Collaborative Learning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" ...	%			
1e. Asked another student to help you understand course material	61	+5	+9	+4
1f. Explained course material to one or more students	64	+4	+6	+2
1g. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students	60	+3	+10	+5
1h. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments	64	+8	+10	+7
Discussions with Diverse Others				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with...				
8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own	57	-13	-10	-18
8b. People from an economic background other than your own	68	-5	-3	-7
8c. People with religious beliefs other than your own	61	-8	-4	-11
8d. People with political views other than your own	68	+10	+8	+1

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

Learning with Peers: Seniors


Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your seniors compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Collaborative Learning	37.1	34.8 ***	.17	32.8 ***	.30	34.2 ***	.20
Discussions with Diverse Others	36.4	39.4 ***	-.21	38.5 ***	-.14	41.9 ***	-.36

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$ (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

Collaborative Learning	Item Description	% ^a	Percentage point difference ^a between your seniors and		
			Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
<i>Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" ...</i>					
1e. Asked another student to help you understand course material		56	+5	+10	+7
1f. Explained course material to one or more students		70	+7	+10	+8
1g. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students		59	+7	+11	+9
1h. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments		76	+7	+12	+9
<i>Discussions with Diverse Others</i>					
<i>Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with...</i>					
8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own		53	-16	-14	-22
8b. People from an economic background other than your own		67	-7	-4	-9
8c. People with religious beliefs other than your own		60	-8	-5	-13
8d. People with political views other than your own		63	+7	+4	-5

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Experiences with Faculty University of New Hampshire at Durham

Experiences with Faculty: First-year students



Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your first-year students compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Student-Faculty Interaction	21.7	19.3 ***	.16	20.6	.08	20.8	.06
Effective Teaching Practices	37.7	36.9	.07	36.9	.07	37.5	.02

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and *p* before rounding: **p* < .05, ***p* < .01, ****p* < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your FY students and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Student-Faculty Interaction				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...	%			
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	35	+4	+1	-2
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	24	+5	+4	+3
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class	28	+3	+3	+3
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	28	+3	-1	+1
Effective Teaching Practices				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have...				
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	75	-1	-1	-2
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	73	-1	+2	-1
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	75	-1	+3	-0
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	64	+8	+5	+7
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	59	+6	+3	+4

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

Experiences with Faculty: Seniors



Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your seniors compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Student-Faculty Interaction	27.4	23.8 ***	.23	25.1 ***	.15	23.0 ***	.28
Effective Teaching Practices	41.2	38.3 ***	.23	39.3 ***	.14	38.5 ***	.20

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$ (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your seniors and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Student-Faculty Interaction				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"...	%			
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	53	+11	+7	+12
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	36	+7	+7	+8
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class	39	+7	+4	+9
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	37	+7	+0	+7
Effective Teaching Practices				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have...				
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	85	+5	+5	+6
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	82	+6	+5	+6
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	82	+3	+4	+5
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	67	+10	+6	+11
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	67	+8	+3	+8

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

Campus Environment: First-year students



Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your first-year students compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Quality of Interactions	43.1	42.0 *	.10	41.8 **	.12	42.9	.02
Supportive Environment	37.5	34.4 ***	.25	35.0 ***	.20	36.5	.08

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and *p* before rounding; **p* < .05, ***p* < .01, ****p* < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your FY students and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Quality of Interactions				
Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from 1="Poor" to 7="Excellent") with...	%			
13a. Students	60	+5	+10	+6
13b. Academic advisors	49	+2	+2	-4
13c. Faculty	50	+3	+4	+1
13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.)	50	+8	+7	+4
13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.)	43	+5	+3	+0
Supportive Environment				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized...				
14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically	81	+10	+8	+5
14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.)	78	+5	+3	+2
14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.)	62	+3	+3	-1
14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially	79	+9	+9	+5
14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)	78	+13	+10	+6
14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	49	+12	+10	+9
14h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)	69	+6	+5	+1
14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues	58	+11	+10	+11

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

Campus Environment: Seniors



Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons

Engagement Indicator	UNH	Your seniors compared with					
		Peer Institutions		New England Public		Carnegie Class	
		Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Quality of Interactions	43.2	40.3 ***	.26	41.8 ***	.12	41.8 ***	.12
Supportive Environment	32.9	30.2 ***	.20	31.7 *	.09	32.5	.03

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and *p* before rounding; **p* < .05, ***p* < .01, ****p* < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	UNH	Percentage point difference ^a between your seniors and		
		Peer Institutions	New England Public	Carnegie Class
Quality of Interactions				
Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from 1="Poor" to 7="Excellent") with...	%			
13a. Students	58	+3	+3	+1
13b. Academic advisors	53	+11	+4	+4
13c. Faculty	60	+9	+6	+8
13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.)	45	+9	+4	+3
13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.)	39	+6	-0	-0
Supportive Environment				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized...				
14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically	70	+7	+3	+1
14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.)	63	+5	-0	-1
14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.)	43	-5	-10	-11
14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially	69	+6	+6	+2
14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)	70	+18	+12	+9
14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	31	+4	-1	+0
14h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)	60	+5	+7	+2
14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues	49	+9	+6	+9

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage – Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

This page intentionally left blank.

Comparisons with Top 50% and Top 10% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see go.iu.edu/NSSE-PnP), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE^a for their high average levels of student engagement:

- (a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 50% of all 2019 and 2020 NSSE institutions, and
- (b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 10% of all 2019 and 2020 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top 50% or top 10%, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark (✓) signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable^b to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

Theme		Engagement Indicator	UNH Mean	Your first-year students compared with					
				NSSE Top 50%		NSSE Top 10%			
				Mean	Effect size	✓	Mean	Effect size	✓
<i>Academic Challenge</i>	Higher-Order Learning	37.7	39.3 **	-.12			41.4 ***	-.29	
	Reflective and Integrative Learning	35.3	36.7 **	-.12			39.0 ***	-.31	
	Learning Strategies	37.7	39.9 ***	-.16			42.3 ***	-.33	
	Quantitative Reasoning	30.4	29.4	.07	✓		31.4	-.06	✓
<i>Learning with Peers</i>	Collaborative Learning	35.8	35.2	.05	✓		37.4 **	-.12	
	Discussions with Diverse Others	37.0	41.5 ***	-.29			43.6 ***	-.45	
<i>Experiences with Faculty</i>	Student-Faculty Interaction	21.7	24.5 ***	-.19			28.1 ***	-.42	
	Effective Teaching Practices	37.7	40.5 ***	-.21			42.3 ***	-.32	
<i>Campus Environment</i>	Quality of Interactions	43.1	45.2 ***	-.18			47.2 ***	-.35	
	Supportive Environment	37.5	37.9	-.03	✓		40.0 ***	-.19	
Seniors				Your seniors compared with					
<i>Academic Challenge</i>	Higher-Order Learning	39.8	41.7 ***	-.15			43.2 ***	-.26	
	Reflective and Integrative Learning	37.4	39.8 ***	-.20			41.8 ***	-.37	
	Learning Strategies	35.3	40.7 ***	-.37			42.7 ***	-.51	
	Quantitative Reasoning	32.1	31.4	.04	✓		33.4 *	-.08	
<i>Learning with Peers</i>	Collaborative Learning	37.1	35.9 **	.09	✓		38.4 **	-.09	
	Discussions with Diverse Others	36.4	42.1 ***	-.37			43.8 ***	-.48	
<i>Experiences with Faculty</i>	Student-Faculty Interaction	27.4	29.7 ***	-.14			33.2 ***	-.37	
	Effective Teaching Practices	41.2	41.8	-.04	✓		43.7 ***	-.19	
<i>Campus Environment</i>	Quality of Interactions	43.2	45.2 ***	-.17			47.4 ***	-.35	
	Supportive Environment	32.9	34.6 ***	-.13			36.8 ***	-.28	

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2019 and 2020 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data—even those with high average scores—may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.

b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size > -.10.

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Detailed Statistics^a

University of New Hampshire at Durham

Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students

	Mean statistics			Percentile ^d scores					Comparison results			
	Mean	SD ^b	SE ^c	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Deg. of freedom ^e	Mean diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
Academic Challenge												
Higher-Order Learning												
UNH (N = 507)	37.7	12.1	.54	20	30	40	45	60				
Peer Institutions	38.2	12.6	.19	20	30	40	45	60	4,973	-.4	.452	-.035
New England Public	37.0	12.6	.14	20	30	40	45	60	8,352	.7	.228	.055
Carnegie Class	38.2	13.0	.05	20	30	40	45	60	516	-.5	.391	-.036
Top 50%	39.3	13.1	.04	20	30	40	50	60	511	-1.6	.003	-.121
Top 10%	41.4	12.8	.08	20	35	40	50	60	528	-3.6	.000	-.285
Reflective & Integrative Learning												
UNH (N = 543)	35.3	11.2	.48	17	29	34	43	54				
Peer Institutions	34.9	11.7	.17	17	26	34	43	57	5,328	.4	.439	.035
New England Public	34.5	11.6	.13	17	26	34	40	54	8,959	.8	.114	.070
Carnegie Class	34.9	11.9	.05	17	26	34	43	57	553	.4	.429	.032
Top 50%	36.7	11.8	.03	17	29	37	46	57	133,749	-1.4	.006	-.119
Top 10%	39.0	11.7	.08	20	31	40	49	60	21,545	-3.7	.000	-.314
Learning Strategies												
UNH (N = 488)	37.7	12.7	.58	20	27	40	47	60				
Peer Institutions	37.4	13.6	.21	20	27	40	47	60	622	.3	.634	.022
New England Public	37.1	13.6	.16	13	27	40	47	60	561	.6	.345	.042
Carnegie Class	37.7	13.7	.06	20	27	40	47	60	497	.0	.984	-.001
Top 50%	39.9	13.7	.04	20	33	40	53	60	492	-2.2	.000	-.161
Top 10%	42.3	14.1	.09	20	33	40	53	60	510	-4.6	.000	-.328
Quantitative Reasoning												
UNH (N = 493)	30.4	14.9	.67	7	20	33	40	60				
Peer Institutions	29.9	14.8	.23	7	20	27	40	60	4,788	.6	.430	.038
New England Public	28.7	14.7	.17	7	20	27	40	60	8,118	1.8	.010	.120
Carnegie Class	29.3	15.1	.06	7	20	27	40	60	56,648	1.2	.086	.078
Top 50%	29.4	15.2	.04	7	20	27	40	60	150,992	1.0	.140	.067
Top 10%	31.4	15.3	.09	7	20	33	40	60	31,853	-1.0	.167	-.063
Learning with Peers												
Collaborative Learning												
UNH (N = 570)	35.8	13.4	.56	15	25	35	45	60				
Peer Institutions	33.9	13.8	.19	10	25	35	40	60	5,641	1.9	.002	.136
New England Public	32.4	13.7	.15	10	20	30	40	60	9,469	3.4	.000	.246
Carnegie Class	34.3	14.1	.05	10	25	35	45	60	579	1.5	.008	.106
Top 50%	35.2	13.7	.03	15	25	35	45	60	174,114	.6	.279	.045
Top 10%	37.4	13.5	.07	15	30	40	45	60	37,027	-1.6	.005	-.118
Discussions with Diverse Others												
UNH (N = 490)	37.0	14.2	.64	15	25	35	45	60				
Peer Institutions	39.1	14.7	.22	15	30	40	50	60	4,756	-2.1	.003	-.143
New England Public	38.3	15.1	.17	15	25	40	50	60	8,061	-1.3	.068	-.085
Carnegie Class	41.4	14.8	.06	20	30	40	55	60	56,144	-4.4	.000	-.294
Top 50%	41.5	15.0	.04	20	30	40	55	60	155,122	-4.4	.000	-.295
Top 10%	43.6	14.5	.08	20	35	45	60	60	32,503	-6.6	.000	-.453

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Detailed Statistics^a

University of New Hampshire at Durham

Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students

	Mean statistics			Percentile ^d scores					Comparison results			
	Mean	SD ^b	SE ^c	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Deg. of freedom ^e	Mean diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
Experiences with Faculty												
Student-Faculty Interaction												
UNH (N = 519)	21.7	14.0	.61	0	10	20	30	45				
Peer Institutions	19.3	14.2	.21	0	10	15	25	45	5,107	2.3	.000	.164
New England Public	20.6	14.3	.16	0	10	20	30	50	8,611	1.1	.092	.076
Carnegie Class	20.8	14.4	.06	0	10	20	30	50	60,927	.9	.173	.060
Top 50%	24.5	14.7	.05	5	15	20	35	55	88,961	-2.8	.000	-.192
Top 10%	28.1	15.5	.14	5	15	25	40	60	576	-6.5	.000	-.420
Effective Teaching Practices												
UNH (N = 511)	37.7	11.7	.52	20	28	40	44	56				
Peer Institutions	36.9	12.3	.18	20	28	36	44	60	4,942	.8	.141	.069
New England Public	36.9	12.4	.14	20	28	36	44	60	8,355	.8	.134	.068
Carnegie Class	37.5	12.6	.05	16	28	36	45	60	520	.2	.685	.017
Top 50%	40.5	13.2	.04	20	32	40	52	60	516	-2.8	.000	-.215
Top 10%	42.3	14.1	.09	16	32	44	56	60	538	-4.6	.000	-.325
Campus Environment												
Quality of Interactions												
UNH (N = 468)	43.1	10.2	.47	25	38	45	50	58				
Peer Institutions	42.0	11.0	.17	22	36	42	50	60	4,443	1.1	.032	.105
New England Public	41.8	11.3	.13	22	36	42	50	60	544	1.3	.006	.120
Carnegie Class	42.9	11.2	.05	22	36	44	50	60	477	.2	.667	.018
Top 50%	45.2	11.2	.04	24	38	46	54	60	472	-2.0	.000	-.182
Top 10%	47.2	11.6	.08	25	40	50	58	60	492	-4.1	.000	-.350
Supportive Environment												
UNH (N = 471)	37.5	11.6	.54	20	30	38	45	58				
Peer Institutions	34.4	12.6	.20	15	25	35	43	60	603	3.1	.000	.249
New England Public	35.0	12.8	.15	15	25	35	43	58	546	2.5	.000	.199
Carnegie Class	36.5	13.0	.06	15	28	38	45	60	481	1.1	.051	.081
Top 50%	37.9	13.1	.04	18	30	38	48	60	475	-.3	.529	-.026
Top 10%	40.0	12.9	.09	18	33	40	50	60	498	-2.5	.000	-.193

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean +/- 1.96 x SE) is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the *t*-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Detailed Statistics^a

University of New Hampshire at Durham

Detailed Statistics: Seniors

	Mean statistics			Percentile ^d scores					Comparison results			
	Mean	SD ^b	SE ^c	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Deg. of freedom ^e	Mean diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
Academic Challenge												
Higher-Order Learning												
UNH (N = 783)	39.8	12.4	.44	20	30	40	50	60				
Peer Institutions	39.1	13.2	.18	20	30	40	50	60	1,046	.7	.167	.050
New England Public	39.3	13.4	.13	20	30	40	50	60	931	.5	.294	.037
Carnegie Class	39.2	13.5	.04	20	30	40	50	60	797	.5	.223	.040
Top 50%	41.7	13.4	.03	20	35	40	55	60	792	-2.0	.000	-.146
Top 10%	43.2	13.3	.07	20	35	40	55	60	820	-3.4	.000	-.257
Reflective & Integrative Learning												
UNH (N = 813)	37.4	11.9	.42	20	29	37	46	60				
Peer Institutions	37.4	12.3	.16	17	29	37	46	60	6,820	-.1	.883	-.006
New England Public	37.8	12.3	.12	17	29	37	46	60	11,366	-.4	.372	-.033
Carnegie Class	37.3	12.5	.04	17	29	37	46	60	106,356	.1	.880	.005
Top 50%	39.8	12.2	.03	20	31	40	49	60	157,852	-2.4	.000	-.201
Top 10%	41.8	12.0	.08	20	34	40	51	60	26,139	-4.4	.000	-.366
Learning Strategies												
UNH (N = 754)	35.3	13.8	.50	13	27	33	47	60				
Peer Institutions	36.9	14.5	.20	13	27	40	47	60	1,002	-1.6	.003	-.110
New England Public	38.0	14.4	.15	13	27	40	47	60	886	-2.7	.000	-.184
Carnegie Class	37.5	14.6	.05	13	27	40	47	60	766	-2.2	.000	-.152
Top 50%	40.7	14.5	.03	20	33	40	53	60	175,985	-5.4	.000	-.371
Top 10%	42.7	14.4	.06	20	33	40	60	60	775	-7.4	.000	-.510
Quantitative Reasoning												
UNH (N = 758)	32.1	16.1	.58	0	20	33	40	60				
Peer Institutions	32.4	15.9	.22	7	20	33	40	60	6,188	-.3	.616	-.019
New England Public	30.4	16.2	.16	0	20	33	40	60	10,550	1.7	.004	.107
Carnegie Class	31.1	16.0	.05	0	20	33	40	60	96,634	1.0	.073	.065
Top 50%	31.4	16.1	.03	0	20	33	40	60	224,083	.7	.230	.044
Top 10%	33.4	15.9	.08	7	20	33	40	60	44,611	-1.2	.033	-.078
Learning with Peers												
Collaborative Learning												
UNH (N = 834)	37.1	13.3	.46	15	25	35	45	60				
Peer Institutions	34.8	14.3	.18	10	25	35	45	60	1,104	2.4	.000	.169
New England Public	32.8	14.8	.14	10	20	35	40	60	998	4.4	.000	.296
Carnegie Class	34.2	14.8	.04	10	25	35	45	60	849	2.9	.000	.198
Top 50%	35.9	14.0	.03	15	25	35	45	60	840	1.2	.009	.087
Top 10%	38.4	13.6	.07	15	30	40	50	60	37,000	-1.2	.009	-.092
Discussions with Diverse Others												
UNH (N = 757)	36.4	14.1	.51	15	25	35	45	60				
Peer Institutions	39.4	14.6	.20	15	30	40	50	60	6,155	-3.0	.000	-.207
New England Public	38.5	15.4	.16	15	25	40	50	60	900	-2.1	.000	-.138
Carnegie Class	41.9	15.3	.05	15	30	40	60	60	770	-5.5	.000	-.358
Top 50%	42.1	15.5	.03	15	30	40	60	60	762	-5.7	.000	-.366
Top 10%	43.8	15.3	.06	20	35	45	60	60	780	-7.4	.000	-.484

NSSE 2020 Engagement Indicators

Detailed Statistics^a

University of New Hampshire at Durham

Detailed Statistics: Seniors

	Mean statistics			Percentile ^d scores					Comparison results			
	Mean	SD ^b	SE ^c	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Deg. of freedom ^e	Mean diff.	Sig. ^f	Effect size ^g
Experiences with Faculty												
Student-Faculty Interaction												
UNH (N = 794)	27.4	15.2	.54	5	15	25	40	60				
Peer Institutions	23.8	15.3	.20	0	15	20	35	55	6,578	3.6	.000	.234
New England Public	25.1	15.7	.16	0	15	25	35	55	11,023	2.3	.000	.148
Carnegie Class	23.0	15.6	.05	0	10	20	35	55	102,784	4.4	.000	.279
Top 50%	29.7	15.9	.06	5	20	30	40	60	83,196	-2.3	.000	-.143
Top 10%	33.2	16.0	.13	10	20	35	45	60	893	-5.8	.000	-.366
Effective Teaching Practices												
UNH (N = 779)	41.2	12.4	.44	20	32	40	52	60				
Peer Institutions	38.3	12.7	.17	16	32	40	48	60	6,396	2.9	.000	.228
New England Public	39.3	13.3	.13	16	32	40	48	60	923	1.9	.000	.140
Carnegie Class	38.5	13.4	.04	16	28	40	48	60	792	2.7	.000	.202
Top 50%	41.8	13.7	.04	20	32	40	52	60	789	-.6	.172	-.044
Top 10%	43.7	13.4	.08	20	36	44	56	60	827	-2.5	.000	-.190
Campus Environment												
Quality of Interactions												
UNH (N = 731)	43.2	10.6	.39	24	38	44	50	60				
Peer Institutions	40.3	11.5	.16	20	33	40	48	60	991	2.9	.000	.256
New England Public	41.8	11.8	.12	20	34	42	50	60	881	1.5	.000	.124
Carnegie Class	41.8	12.0	.04	20	34	43	50	60	745	1.4	.000	.118
Top 50%	45.2	11.7	.03	24	38	48	54	60	739	-2.0	.000	-.172
Top 10%	47.4	12.0	.06	24	40	50	58	60	760	-4.2	.000	-.347
Supportive Environment												
UNH (N = 742)	32.9	12.5	.46	13	25	33	40	55				
Peer Institutions	30.2	13.2	.18	10	20	30	40	55	5,990	2.6	.000	.202
New England Public	31.7	13.7	.14	10	20	33	40	58	884	1.2	.013	.088
Carnegie Class	32.5	13.8	.05	10	23	33	40	58	755	.4	.394	.028
Top 50%	34.6	14.0	.04	13	25	35	45	60	750	-1.8	.000	-.126
Top 10%	36.8	14.1	.09	13	28	38	48	60	794	-4.0	.000	-.282

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean +/- 1.96 x SE) is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the *t*-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.