UNH FACULTY SENATE MOTION # XXVI-M1

to endorse the Agenda Committee report and recommendations on the formation of the ad hoc General Education Review Committee (GERC)

- 1. Motion presenter: Kevin Healey, on behalf of the Agenda Committee
- 2. Dates of Faculty Senate discussion: 08/30/21
- **3.** Motion: The Faculty Senate endorses the Agenda Committee report and recommendations (see Appendix) on the formation of the ad hoc General Education Review Committee
- 4. Senate action: The motion was approved by unanimous consent on August 30.

5. Senate chair's signature: _

Forwarded to the following on 09/30/21: President James Dean Wayne Jones, Provost Kate Ziemer, Senior Vice Provost for Academic Affairs All deans and associate deans All department chairs Nicky Gullace, Faculty Director, Discovery Program

Appendix

Faculty Senate Agenda Committee Report and Recommendations August 26, 2021

Background

On April 19, 2021, the Faculty Senate passed Motion # XXV-M20 to Recommit and Create a New Ad Hoc Committee to Reassess the Discovery Review Committee (DRC) recommendations. This motion instructs the Agenda Committee "to form an ad hoc committee to reassess the DRC recommendations to UNH's general education curriculum" and to "draft the parameters for committee membership and the specific committee goals and timeline and share these with the Senate for approval." To this end, Agenda Committee members met with administrators and faculty including Provost Wayne Jones, Senior Vice Provost for Academic Affairs Kate Ziemer, and Discovery Committee Chair Nicoletta Gullace. Note that to avoid unnecessary confusion the Agenda Committee recommends that this ad hoc committee be named the General Education Review Committee (GERC).

Recommendations

The Agenda Committee's recommendations for the membership parameters, goals, and timeline for the new ad hoc committee are as follows.

1. **Membership parameters**. Membership of the GERC should address all of the following concerns as much as is feasible, with due recognition of the overlapping nature of such categories:

a. Adequate representation across categories of gender, ethnicity, career stage (junior to senior), and appointment type (lecturer, TT, Clinical)

b. Representation from across all undergraduate degree-granting colleges, as well as the Library.

c. Inclusion of persons with relevant disciplinary and/or professional expertise as it relates to the pedagogy of general education.

d. At least one undergraduate student.

e. Substantial representation of Faculty Senators.

f. Inclusion of Senior Vice Provost for Academic Affairs Kate Ziemer or designee in a nonvoting *ex officio* role.

The committee should consult with any other individuals or departments that they think will be useful. This includes the co-chairs of the Discovery Review Committee, the Discovery Committee, CEITL, as well as administrators; and departments who may be affected or have specialized expertise

2. **Goals**. The primary goal of the GERC is to reassess the 2021 DRC recommendations to UNH's general education curriculum. The first step in this process is for the committee to assess whether a modified version of the 2021 DRC proposal should be prepared or whether a new proposal should be developed. The committee will then provide a report and recommendation to the Faculty Senate. The Faculty Senate will conduct a procedural vote on whether to endorse the report and its recommendations.

Any subsequent responsibilities for the GERC will depend on the outcome of the procedural vote on the committee's initial report and recommendations. Following the vote, the Agenda Committee will draft, in consultation with the GERC, additional charges and membership parameters, and share these with the Senate for approval.

For the duration of its work, the committee should take into account all relevant research, documentation, and feedback previously compiled by the DRC and the Senate Agenda Committee. It should focus on the educational merits and learning outcomes of general education requirements, while making an attempt to forgo discussions of revenue generation or budget concerns.

3. **Timeline.** The GERC shall make their initial assessment (regarding whether to reintroduce the 2021 DRC proposal or to develop a new proposal) and report to the Senate as soon as possible. As noted above, additional charges and membership parameters may be developed based on the outcome of this initial assessment, along with a revised timeline.

The GERC should consult with the Agenda Committee as often as necessary for guidance and for any assistance in obtaining information or resources to conduct their work.